



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P. O. Box 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

JJGJr: 10-03

Paper No: 18

CAMPBELL STEPHENSON  
ASCOLESE, LLP  
4807 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS RD.  
BLDG. 4, SUITE 201  
AUSTIN TX 78759

**COPY MAILED**

NOV 21 2003

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of	:	
Chen, et al.	:	
Application No. 09/823,828	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: 31 March, 2001	:	
Attorney Docket No.: SLB0006US	:	

This is a decision on the renewed petition filed on 2 October, 2003, to revive the instant nonprovisional application under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.137(f), and considered as a petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner states that the instant nonprovisional application is the subject of Petitioner identifies as “an International Application” filed on 29 March, 2002. However, while Petitioner rescinded his non-publication request in a submission on 8 April, 2002, (within 45 days of the international application filing) Petitioner unintentionally failed to “Notice” the US Patent and Trademark Office within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the international application.

In view of the above, this application became abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 C.F.R. §1.213(c) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multilateral international agreement, that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing.

A petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(f) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reply, which is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty;

- (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(m); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

The instant petition has been found to be in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.137(f). Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the Office of a foreign or international filing within 45 days after the date of filing of such foreign or international application as provided by 35 U.S.C. §122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 C.F.R. §1.213(c) is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

The previous Request and Certification under 35 U.S.C. §122(b)(2)(B)(I) has been rescinded. Because the Office data base indicating an actual publication date of 23 January, 2003, no Notice Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request indicating a projected accompanies this decision on petition.

The application is being forwarded to Technology Center 2100 for further processing in due course.

Inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-9199.



John J. Gillon, Jr.  
Senior Attorney  
Office of Petitions